

Nyman GSA, Giménez-Arnau AM, Grigaitiene J, Malinauskiene L, Paulsen E, Hagvall L. *Patch Testing with Propolis of Different Geographical Origins in a Baseline Series*. Acta Derm Venereol 2021; 101: adv00591.

The authors have unfortunately discovered that the originally published version of this article contains errors. These errors lead to minor changes of the paper, but need to be corrected.

In this corrigendum, we supply the corrected data in Results and Discussion.

These corrections do not alter the study's findings of significance or overall interpretation of the study results. The authors regret for any inconvenience caused.

RESULTS

In the Results the following sentence is changed:

Original sentence

Concomitant reactions to 2 types of propolis were most frequent to those of Lithuanian and Swedish origin; 16 out of 26 (61%) and 16 out of 26 (61%) patients, respectively.

Corrected sentence and added sentence

Concomitant reactions to 2 types of propolis were most frequent to those of Lithuanian and Swedish origin; 16 out of 26 (61%) and 18 out of 26 (89%) patients, respectively.

DISCUSSION

In the Discussion the following sentence is changed:

Original sentence

Although small differences in frequencies were found, there were no statistically significant differences in the number of positive reactions between the 4 different types of propolis in any of the 3 countries, individually or together.

Corrected sentence and added sentence

Although small differences in frequencies were found, there were no statistically significant differences in the number of positive reactions between the 4 different types of propolis in any of the 3 countries, individually or together with one exception. Positive reactions to propolis from Lithuania was significantly more frequent ($p=0.039$) than propolis originating from Sweden.

Acta Derm Venereol 2022; 102: adv00775.

DOI: 10.2340/actadv.v102.4543